

The split decomposition of a tridiagonal pair

Kazumasa Nomura and Paul Terwilliger

Abstract

Let $\mathbb{K}$ denote a field and let V denote a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with finite positive dimension. We consider a pair of linear transformations $A : V \rightarrow V$ and $A^* : V \rightarrow V$ that satisfy (i)–(iv) below:

- (i) Each of A, A^* is diagonalizable.
- (ii) There exists an ordering $V_0, V_1, \dots, V_d$ of the eigenspaces of A such that $A^*V_i \subseteq V_{i-1} + V_i + V_{i+1}$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$, where $V_{-1} = 0, V_{d+1} = 0$.
- (iii) There exists an ordering $V_0^*, V_1^*, \dots, V_\delta^*$ of the eigenspaces of A^* such that $AV_i^* \subseteq V_{i-1}^* + V_i^* + V_{i+1}^*$ for $0 \leq i \leq \delta$, where $V_{-1}^* = 0, V_{\delta+1}^* = 0$.
- (iv) There is no subspace W of V such that both $AW \subseteq W, A^*W \subseteq W$, other than $W = 0$ and $W = V$.

We call such a pair a *tridiagonal pair* on V . In this note we obtain two results. First, we show that each of A, A^* is determined up to affine transformation by the V_i and V_i^* . Secondly, we characterize the case in which the V_i and V_i^* all have dimension one. We prove both results using a certain decomposition of V called the split decomposition.

1 Introduction

Throughout this note $\mathbb{K}$ will denote a field and V will denote a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with finite positive dimension. Let $\text{End}(V)$ denote the $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra of all $\mathbb{K}$ -linear transformations from V to V .

For $A \in \text{End}(V)$ and for a subspace $W \subseteq V$, we call W an *eigenspace* of A whenever $W \neq 0$ and there exists $\theta \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $W = \{v \in V \mid Av = \theta v\}$. We say A is *diagonalizable* whenever V is spanned by the eigenspaces of A . We now recall the notion of a tridiagonal pair.

Definition 1.1 [1] By a *tridiagonal pair* on V we mean an ordered pair of elements A, A^* taken from $\text{End}(V)$ that satisfy (i)–(iv) below:

- (i) Each of A, A^* is diagonalizable.
- (ii) There exists an ordering $V_0, V_1, \dots, V_d$ of the eigenspaces of A such that

$$A^*V_i \subseteq V_{i-1} + V_i + V_{i+1} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d),$$

where $V_{-1} = 0, V_{d+1} = 0$.

- (iii) There exists an ordering $V_0^*, V_1^*, \dots, V_\delta^*$ of the eigenspaces of A^* such that

$$AV_i^* \subseteq V_{i-1}^* + V_i^* + V_{i+1}^* \quad (0 \leq i \leq \delta),$$

where $V_{-1}^* = 0, V_{\delta+1}^* = 0$.

- (iv) There is no subspace W of V such that both $AW \subseteq W, A^*W \subseteq W$, other than $W = 0$ and $W = V$.

Note 1.2 It is a common notational convention to use A^* to represent the conjugate-transpose of A . We are not using this convention. In a tridiagonal pair A, A^* the linear transformations A and A^* are arbitrary subject to (i)–(iv) above.

We refer the reader to [1], [2], [3] for background on tridiagonal pairs.

Referring to Definition 1.1 we have $d = \delta$ [1, Lemma 4.5]; we call this common value the *diameter* of A, A^* . For $0 \leq i \leq d$ the dimensions of V_i and V_i^* coincide; we denote this common value by ρ_i , and observe that $\rho_i \neq 0$. The sequence $\rho_0, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_d$ is symmetric and unimodal; i.e. $\rho_i = \rho_{d-i}$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$ and $\rho_{i-1} \leq \rho_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq d/2$ [1, Corollaries 5.7, 6.6]. We call the vector $(\rho_0, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_d)$ the *shape* of A, A^* . By a *Leonard pair* we mean a tridiagonal pair with shape $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ [5, Definition 1.1]. See [5], [6], [7] for background information on Leonard pairs.

In this note we obtain the following two results. Let A, A^* denote a tridiagonal pair from Definition 1.1. First, we show that each of A, A^* is determined up to affine transformation by the V_i and V_i^* . Secondly, we characterize the Leonard pairs among the tridiagonal pairs. We prove both results using a certain decomposition of the underlying vector space called the split decomposition [1, Section 4].

2 The split decomposition

In this section we recall the split decomposition [1, Section 4]. We start with a comment. Referring to Definition 1.1, since $V_0, V_1, \dots, V_d$ are the eigenspaces of A and since A is diagonalizable we have

$$V = V_0 + V_1 + \dots + V_d \quad (\text{direct sum}). \quad (1)$$

Similarly

$$V = V_0^* + V_1^* + \dots + V_d^* \quad (\text{direct sum}). \quad (2)$$

For $0 \leq i \leq d$ define

$$U_i = (V_0^* + V_1^* + \dots + V_i^*) \cap (V_i + V_{i+1} + \dots + V_d). \quad (3)$$

By [1, Theorem 4.6],

$$V = U_0 + U_1 + \dots + U_d \quad (\text{direct sum}), \quad (4)$$

and for $0 \leq i \leq d$ both

$$U_0 + U_1 + \dots + U_i = V_0^* + V_1^* + \dots + V_i^*, \quad (5)$$

$$U_i + U_{i+1} + \dots + U_d = V_i + V_{i+1} + \dots + V_d. \quad (6)$$

For $0 \leq i \leq d$ let θ_i (resp. θ_i^*) denote the eigenvalue of A (resp. A^*) associated with the eigenspace V_i (resp. V_i^*). Then by [1, Theorem 4.6] both

$$(A - \theta_i I)U_i \subseteq U_{i+1}, \quad (7)$$

$$(A^* - \theta_i^* I)U_i \subseteq U_{i-1}, \quad (8)$$

where $U_{-1} = 0$ and $U_{d+1} = 0$. The sequence $U_0, U_1, \dots, U_d$ is called the *split decomposition* of V [1, Section 4].

3 A subalgebra of $\text{End}(V)$

The following subalgebra of $\text{End}(V)$ will be useful to us. Referring to Definition 1.1, let $\mathcal{D}$ denote the subalgebra of $\text{End}(V)$ generated by A . In what follows we often view $\mathcal{D}$ as a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$. The dimension of this vector space is $d + 1$ since A is diagonalizable with $d + 1$ eigenspaces. Therefore $\{A^i \mid 0 \leq i \leq d\}$ is a basis for $\mathcal{D}$. There is another basis for $\mathcal{D}$ that is better suited to our purpose. To define it we use the following notation. Let $\mathbb{K}[\lambda]$ denote the $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra of all polynomials in an indeterminate λ that have coefficients in $\mathbb{K}$. For $0 \leq i \leq d$ we define $\tau_i \in \mathbb{K}[\lambda]$ by

$$\tau_i = (\lambda - \theta_0)(\lambda - \theta_1) \cdots (\lambda - \theta_{i-1}). \quad (9)$$

We note that τ_i is monic with degree i . Therefore $\{\tau_i(A) \mid 0 \leq i \leq d\}$ is a basis for $\mathcal{D}$. Combining (7) and (9) we find

$$\tau_i(A)U_0 \subseteq U_i \quad (0 \leq i \leq d). \quad (10)$$

The following lemma is a variation on [1, Lemma 6.5]; we give a short proof for the convenience of the reader.

Lemma 3.1 *Referring to Definition 1.1, for all nonzero $u \in V_0^*$ and nonzero $X \in \mathcal{D}$, we have $Xu \neq 0$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that the vector spaces $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D}u$ have the same dimension. We saw earlier that $\{\tau_i(A) \mid 0 \leq i \leq d\}$ is a basis for $\mathcal{D}$. We show that $\{\tau_i(A)u \mid 0 \leq i \leq d\}$ is a basis for $\mathcal{D}u$. By (4), (10), and since $U_0 = V_0^*$, this will hold if we can show $\tau_i(A)u \neq 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. Let i be given and suppose $\tau_i(A)u = 0$. We will obtain a contradiction by displaying a subspace W of V that violates Definition 1.1(iv). Observe that $i \neq 0$ since $\tau_0 = 1$ and $u \neq 0$; therefore $i \geq 1$. By (9) and since $\tau_i(A)u = 0$ we find $u \in V_0 + V_1 + \cdots + V_{i-1}$, so

$$u \in V_0^* \cap (V_0 + V_1 + \cdots + V_{i-1}). \quad (11)$$

Define

$$W_r = (V_0^* + V_1^* + \cdots + V_r^*) \cap (V_0 + V_1 + \cdots + V_{i-r-1}) \quad (12)$$

for $0 \leq r \leq i - 1$ and put

$$W = W_0 + W_1 + \cdots + W_{i-1}. \quad (13)$$

We show W violates Definition 1.1(iv). Observe that $W \neq 0$ since the nonzero vector $u \in W_0$ by (11) and since $W_0 \subseteq W$. Next we show $W \neq V$. By (12), for $0 \leq r \leq i - 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} W_r &\subseteq V_0^* + V_1^* + \cdots + V_r^* \\ &\subseteq V_0^* + V_1^* + \cdots + V_{i-1}^*. \end{aligned}$$

By this and (13) we find

$$\begin{aligned} W &\subseteq V_0^* + V_1^* + \cdots + V_{i-1}^* \\ &\subseteq V_0^* + V_1^* + \cdots + V_{d-1}^*. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (2) and using $V_d^* \neq 0$ we find $W \neq V$. We now show $AW \subseteq W$. To this end, we show that $(A - \theta_{i-r-1}I)W_r \subseteq W_{r+1}$ for $0 \leq r \leq i-1$, where $W_i = 0$. Let r be given. From the construction we have

$$(A - \theta_{i-r-1}I) \sum_{h=0}^{i-r-1} V_h = \sum_{h=0}^{i-r-2} V_h. \quad (14)$$

By Definition 1.1(iii) we have

$$(A - \theta_{i-r-1}I) \sum_{h=0}^r V_h^* \subseteq \sum_{h=0}^{r+1} V_h^*. \quad (15)$$

Combining (14) and (15) we find $(A - \theta_{i-r-1}I)W_r \subseteq W_{r+1}$ as desired. We have shown $AW \subseteq W$. We now show $A^*W \subseteq W$. To this end, we show that $(A^* - \theta_r^*I)W_r \subseteq W_{r-1}$ for $0 \leq r \leq i-1$, where $W_{-1} = 0$. Let r be given. From the construction we have

$$(A^* - \theta_r^*I) \sum_{h=0}^r V_h^* = \sum_{h=0}^{r-1} V_h^*. \quad (16)$$

By Definition 1.1(ii) we have

$$(A^* - \theta_r^*I) \sum_{h=0}^{i-r-1} V_h \subseteq \sum_{h=0}^{i-r} V_h. \quad (17)$$

Combining (16) and (17) we find $(A^* - \theta_r^*I)W_r \subseteq W_{r-1}$ as desired. We have shown $A^*W \subseteq W$. We have now shown that $W \neq 0$, $W \neq V$, $AW \subseteq W$, $A^*W \subseteq W$, contradicting Definition 1.1(iv). We conclude $\tau_i(A)u \neq 0$ and the result follows. $\square$

4 Each of A, A^* is determined by the eigenspaces

Let the tridiagonal pair A, A^* be as in Definition 1.1. In this section we show that each of A, A^* is determined up to affine transformation by the eigenspaces V_i, V_i^* . Our main result is based on the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1 *Referring to Definition 1.1, assume $d \geq 1$. Then the following (i), (ii) are equivalent for all $X \in \text{End}(V)$.*

$$(i) \ X \in \mathcal{D} \text{ and } XV_0^* \subseteq V_0^* + V_1^*.$$

$$(ii) \ \text{There exist scalars } r, s \text{ in } \mathbb{K} \text{ such that } X = rA + sI.$$

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Assume $X \neq 0$; otherwise the result is trivial. Pick a nonzero $u \in V_0^*$ and note that $u \in U_0$ by (5). We have $Xu \in V_0^* + V_1^*$ by assumption so

$$Xu \in U_0 + U_1 \quad (18)$$

in view of (5). Recall $\{\tau_i(A) \mid 0 \leq i \leq d\}$ is a basis for $\mathcal{D}$. We assume $X \in \mathcal{D}$ so there exist $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{K}$ ($0 \leq i \leq d$) such that

$$X = \sum_{i=0}^d \alpha_i \tau_i(A). \quad (19)$$

We show $\alpha_i = 0$ for $2 \leq i \leq d$. Suppose not and define $\eta = \max\{i \mid 2 \leq i \leq d, \alpha_i \neq 0\}$. We will obtain a contradiction by showing

$$0 \neq U_\eta \cap (U_0 + U_1 + \cdots + U_{\eta-1}). \quad (20)$$

Note that $\tau_\eta(A)u \neq 0$ by Lemma 3.1 and $\tau_\eta(A)u \in U_\eta$ by (10). Also by (19) we find $\tau_\eta(A)u$ is in the span of Xu and $\tau_0(A)u, \tau_1(A)u, \dots, \tau_{\eta-1}(A)u$; combining this with (10) and (18) we find $\tau_\eta(A)u$ is contained in $U_0 + U_1 + \cdots + U_{\eta-1}$. By these comments $\tau_\eta(A)u$ is a nonzero element in $U_\eta \cap (U_0 + U_1 + \cdots + U_{\eta-1})$ and (20) follows. Line (20) contradicts (4) and we conclude $\alpha_i = 0$ for $2 \leq i \leq d$. Now $X = \alpha_1 \tau_1(A) + \alpha_0 I$. Therefore $X = rA + sI$ with $r = \alpha_1$ and $s = \alpha_0 - \alpha_1 \theta_0$.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Immediate from Definition 1.1(iii). $\square$

The following is our first main theorem.

Theorem 4.2 *Let A, A^* denote a tridiagonal pair on V , with eigenspaces V_i, V_i^* ($0 \leq i \leq d$) as in Definition 1.1. Let A', A'^* denote a second tridiagonal pair on V , with eigenspaces V'_i, V'^*_i ($0 \leq i \leq d$) as in Definition 1.1. Assume $V_i = V'_i$ and $V_i^* = V'^*_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. Then $\text{Span}\{A, I\} = \text{Span}\{A', I\}$ and $\text{Span}\{A^*, I\} = \text{Span}\{A'^*, I\}$.*

Proof. Assume $d \geq 1$; otherwise the result is clear. Let $\mathcal{D}$ (resp. $\mathcal{D}'$) denote the subalgebra of $\text{End}(V)$ generated by A (resp. A'). Since $V_i = V'_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$ we find $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}'$ so $A' \in \mathcal{D}$. Applying Proposition 4.1 to the tridiagonal pair A, A^* (with $X = A'$), there exist $r, s \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $A' = rA + sI$. Note that $r \neq 0$; otherwise $A' = sI$ has a single eigenspace which contradicts $d \geq 1$. It follows that $\text{Span}\{A, I\} = \text{Span}\{A', I\}$. Similarly we find $\text{Span}\{A^*, I\} = \text{Span}\{A'^*, I\}$. $\square$

5 A characterization of the Leonard pairs

In this section we obtain a characterization of the Leonard pairs among the tridiagonal pairs. This characterization is based on the notion of the *switching element* of a Leonard pair [4]. We briefly recall this notion. Let the tridiagonal pair A, A^* be as in Definition 1.1, and assume the corresponding shape is $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ so that A, A^* is a Leonard pair. For $0 \leq i \leq d$ let E_i denote the element of $\text{End}(V)$ such that $(E_i - \delta_{ij}I)V_j = 0$ for $0 \leq j \leq d$. We observe (i) $E_i E_j = \delta_{ij} E_i$ ($0 \leq i, j \leq d$); (ii) $\sum_{i=0}^d E_i = I$; (iii) $A = \sum_{i=0}^d \theta_i E_i$. We further observe that $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_d$ is a basis for $\mathcal{D}$. We define

$$S = \sum_{r=0}^d \frac{\phi_d \phi_{d-1} \cdots \phi_{d-r+1}}{\varphi_1 \varphi_2 \cdots \varphi_r} E_r,$$

where $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_d$ (resp. $\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_d$) is the first split sequence (resp. second split sequence) for A, A^* [5, Definitions 3.10, 3.12]. The element S is called the *switching element* for A, A^* [4, Definition 5.1].

The switching element has the following property.

Theorem 5.1 [4, Theorem 6.7] *Let A, A^* denote a Leonard pair on V and let S denote the switching element for A, A^* . Let V_i, V_i^* be as in Definition 1.1, and let $\mathcal{D}$ denote the subalgebra of $\text{End}(V)$ generated by A . Then for $X \in \text{End}(V)$ the following (i), (ii) are equivalent.*

(i) X is a scalar multiple of S .

(ii) $X \in \mathcal{D}$ and $XV_0^* \subseteq V_d^*$.

The following is our second main result.

Theorem 5.2 *Referring to Definition 1.1, let $\mathcal{D}$ denote the subalgebra of $\text{End}(V)$ generated by A . Then the following (i), (ii) are equivalent.*

(i) *There exists a nonzero $X \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $XV_0^* \subseteq V_d^*$.*

(ii) *The pair A, A^* is a Leonard pair.*

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Recall $\{\tau_i(A) \mid 0 \leq i \leq d\}$ is a basis for $\mathcal{D}$. Therefore there exist scalars $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d$ in $\mathbb{K}$, not all zero, such that

$$X = \sum_{i=0}^d \alpha_i \tau_i(A). \quad (21)$$

Fix a nonzero $u \in V_0^*$. Then $Xu \in V_d^*$ so $(A^* - \theta_d^* I)Xu = 0$. In this equation we evaluate X using (21), rearrange terms, and use $A^*u = \theta_0^*u$ to find

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (A^* - \theta_d^* I)Xu \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^d \alpha_i (A^* - \theta_d^* I) \tau_i(A) u \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^d \alpha_i (\theta_i^* - \theta_d^*) \tau_i(A) u + \sum_{i=0}^d \alpha_i (A^* - \theta_i^* I) \tau_i(A) u \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \alpha_i (\theta_i^* - \theta_d^*) \tau_i(A) u + \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i (A^* - \theta_i^* I) \tau_i(A) u \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} (\alpha_i (\theta_i^* - \theta_d^*) \tau_i(A) u + \alpha_{i+1} (A^* - \theta_{i+1}^* I) \tau_{i+1}(A) u). \end{aligned}$$

In the above line, for $0 \leq i \leq d-1$ the summand at i is contained in U_i in view of (8) and (10), so this summand is 0 in view of (4). Therefore

$$\alpha_i(\theta_i^* - \theta_d^*)\tau_i(A)u + \alpha_{i+1}(A^* - \theta_{i+1}^*I)\tau_{i+1}(A)u = 0 \quad (0 \leq i \leq d-1). \quad (22)$$

Suppose for the moment that there exists an integer i ($0 \leq i \leq d-1$) such that $\alpha_{i+1} = 0$. Then $\alpha_i(\theta_i^* - \theta_d^*)\tau_i(A)u = 0$ by (22). But $\tau_i(A)u \neq 0$ by Lemma 3.1 and $\theta_i^* \neq \theta_d^*$ since $\theta_0^*, \theta_1^*, \dots, \theta_d^*$ are distinct, so $\alpha_i = 0$. Therefore $\alpha_{i+1} = 0$ implies $\alpha_i = 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq d-1$. By this and since $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d$ are not all zero, there exists an integer j ($0 \leq j \leq d$) such that $\alpha_i = 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq j-1$ and $\alpha_i \neq 0$ for $j \leq i \leq d$. Define

$$W = \text{Span}\{\tau_j(A)u, \tau_{j+1}(A)u, \dots, \tau_d(A)u\}.$$

We show that W is nonzero and invariant under each of A, A^* . Observe that $W \neq 0$ since W contains $\tau_d(A)u$, and this vector is nonzero by Lemma 3.1. Observe that $AW \subseteq W$, since using (9) we find $(A - \theta_i I)\tau_i(A)u = \tau_{i+1}(A)u$ for $j \leq i \leq d-1$ and $(A - \theta_d I)\tau_d(A)u = 0$. Observe that $A^*W \subseteq W$, since by (22) the product $(A^* - \theta_i^* I)\tau_i(A)u$ is 0 for $i = j$ and a scalar multiple of $\tau_{i-1}(A)u$ for $j+1 \leq i \leq d$. We have now shown that W is nonzero and invariant under each of A, A^* . Therefore $W = V$ in view of Definition 1.1(iv). We can now easily show that A, A^* is a Leonard pair. By construction the dimension of W is at most $d+1$. Also, using (1) the dimension of V is $\sum_{i=0}^d \rho_i$, so this dimension is at least $d+1$ with equality if and only if $\rho_i = 1$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. By these comments and since $W = V$ we find V has dimension $d+1$ and $\rho_i = 1$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. Therefore the pair A, A^* is a Leonard pair.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Apply Theorem 5.1 with $X = S$, where S is the switching element of A, A^* .

□

References

- [1] T. Ito, K. Tanabe, P. Terwilliger, Some algebra related to P - and Q -polynomial association schemes, Codes and Association Schemes (Piscataway NJ, 1999), American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001, pp. 167–192; [arXiv:math.CO/0406556](#).
- [2] T. Ito, P. Terwilliger, The shape of a tridiagonal pair, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 188 (2004) 145–160; [arXiv:math.QA/0304244](#).
- [3] K. Nomura, A refinement of the split decomposition of a tridiagonal pair, Linear Algebra Appl. 403 (2005) 1–23.
- [4] K. Nomura, P. Terwilliger, The switching element for a Leonard pair, Linear Algebra Appl., submitted for publication; [arXiv:math.RA/0608623](#).
- [5] P. Terwilliger, Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other, Linear Algebra Appl. 330 (2001) 149–203; [arXiv:math.RA/0406555](#).
- [6] P. Terwilliger, Leonard pairs and the q -Racah polynomials, Linear Algebra Appl. 387 (2004) 235–276; [arXiv:math.QA/0306301](#).

- [7] P. Terwilliger, An algebraic approach to the Askey scheme of orthogonal polynomials, in: Orthogonal Polynomials and Special Functions: computation and applications, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1883, Springer, 2006, pp. 255–330; [arXiv:math.QA/0408390](#).

Kazumasa Nomura
College of Liberal Arts and Sciences
Tokyo Medical and Dental University
Kohnodai, Ichikawa, 272-0827 Japan
email: knomura@pop11.odn.ne.jp

Paul Terwilliger
Department of Mathematics
University of Wisconsin
480 Lincoln Drive
Madison, Wisconsin, 53706 USA
email: terwilli@math.wisc.edu

Keywords. Leonard pair, tridiagonal pair, q -Racah polynomial, orthogonal polynomial.
2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 05E35, 05E30, 33C45, 33D45.